

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/782,017	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jeffrey T. Barton	<b>Art Unit</b> 1795	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(2) Hao Tung.

**Date of Interview:** 26 June 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called Mr. Tung to propose amendments to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Tung authorized the amendment as presented in the Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 5 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)